

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Number	: 10/586,325	Confirmation No.:	1838
Applicant	: Ulf HOULBERG et al.		
Filed	: July 14, 2006		
Title	: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COLORIMETRIC DETERMINATION OF A CHEMICAL OR PHYSICAL PROPERTY OF A TURBID MEDIUM		
Docket No.	: 58982.000040		
Customer No.	: 21967		

MAIL STOP PCT

Attention: Office of PCT Legal Administration
Commissioner for Patents
Office of PCT Legal Administration
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION DECISION

Sir:

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Petition Decision mailed on February 19, 2008, rejecting Applicant's Petition Under 37 CFR §1.47(a). In this decision the PCT Legal Examiner indicates that the Item (2) of 37 CFR 1.47(a) has not been satisfied. The Petition Decision notes that the Declaration and supporting documents submitted by Applicants on September 5, 2007 are insufficient because Applicants failed to submit documentary evidence showing that a complete copy of the subject application, including specification, claims and drawings were forwarded and received by the non-signing inventor.

In response, Applicants respectfully submit a copy of a cover letter accompanying a package sent to Mr. Joseph M. Sturino on March 26, 2008. The letter and package were delivered via courier to Mr. Sturino on March 28, 2008 at his last known address in College Station, TX. The cover letter clearly states both in the text and in the listing of enclosures that Mr. Sturino is being provided with full copies of the specification, claims and figures of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/586,325 (the subject application). Applicants also enclose a copy of the confirmation provided by the international courier service which includes the

signature of the consignee or agent recipient. This confirmation indicates that a complete copy of the application including specification, claims and drawings were presented to the non-signing inventor.

The PCT Attorney Advisor indicates in the Petition Decision that the remaining requirements of 37 CFR 1.47(a) were completed with the submission of September 5, 2007. Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that the Petition Decision is moot and that this application should be transferred to the Technology Group for examination on the merits. It is believed that no fees are necessary as this response is timely. However, in the event that any fee is deemed necessary, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-0206.

Respectfully submitted,

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP

Dated: 11/21/08

By: Robin L. Teskin
Robin L. Teskin
Registration No. 35,030
Kellie L. Carden
Registration No. 52,696

Hunton & Williams LLP
Intellectual Property Department
1900 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 955-1500 (telephone)
(202) 778-2201 (facsimile)
TES:sab



JOSEPH M. STURINO
4403 Spring Branch Court
College Station, TX 77845
USA

Via courier

Chr. Hansen Holding A/S

Boge Allé 10-12
P.O. Box 407
DK-2970 Hørsholm
Denmark
Phone: +45 45747474
Fax: +45 45748966
Cable: H Hansenlab Hørsholm
www.chr-hansen.com

VAT no.: 28 31 86 77
Bankers: Danske Bank

March 26, 2008
Our ref: KBI1

Dear Joe,

We have received the letter from Thomas Halloran, in which it appears that you are interested in cooperation regarding the two patent applications: US serial no 11/663,209, published as US2007248573A (Chimeric phages, our ref: P2085US02) and US serial no 10/586,325 (Colorimetric method, our ref P2011US01, national filing of WO2005/068982A1, text and figures in the US application are identical to the WO publication except for introduced amendments). I enclose full copies (including specification, claims and figures) of both patent applications.

The USPTO has started examination of the chimeric phage application and has asked us to limit the application to a certain group of claims. cf. the office action:

I would like your opinion on which group to elect. Which group (I, II or III) is the most important to patent? Please note that we should file a response ASAP in order to avoid that the application becomes abandoned. Also, please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any comments or questions.

Yours sincerely,

Chr. Hansen Holding A/S
IPR & Licensing

Klaus Bach Hagen
European Patent Attorney

Encl: Full copies of WO2005/068982A1 (PCT/DK2005/000027); Preliminary amendments filed in US10/586,325; US2007/0248573A1; and Office Action in US11/663,209.

ACCOUNT #	BILLING REFERENCE	FROM (SHIPPER)	TO (CONSIGNEE)
CBH	00P172 4501893004	NAME Joseph M. Sturino COMPANY Christian Hansen Holding ADDRESS Dege Alle 10-12	NAME Joseph M. Sturino COMPANY Joseph M. Sturino ADDRESS 4403 Spring Branch Court FLOOR/DEPT. FLOOR/DEPT.
NAME	Ref: 06648	TELEPHONE #	TELEPHONE #
CITY	HOERSHOLM DNK 2970	STATE/COUNTRY	STATE/COUNTRY TX USA
POST CODE		CITY	COLLEGE STATION TX USA 77845
ALL DESCRIPTION OF CONTENTS		SHIPMENT INFORMATION	
		SPECIAL HANDLING	

Important documents

[illegible]